

1. I felt comfortable in this meeting.	2. I have a good understanding of what will happen with unfinished business.	3. I feel that the meeting concluded in a good way.	4. All voices were valued in the meeting.	5. There was a clear focus on equity in the meeting.	6. Overall, I felt prepared for the work of this meeting.	7. I had a good understanding of the meeting process.	8. The process of the meeting enabled a diversity of perspectives to be included.	Other comments
2								
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
2	2	2	3	3	4	2	3	A clear focus on equity in a zoom meeting is pretty difficult to evaluate. You don't see all the people present, you don't hear very many of the voices. For me, you cannot evaluate whether equity is being met or not, unless there is a blatant statement made by someone. Does the process enable a diversity of perspectives? This is not really evident in a zoom meeting. Did I feel prepared for this meeting? Not really. I was not aware of the study of religious status in Quebec and found it rather difficult to hear and follow the presentation. I knew nothing of the presentation These are the People in my Neighbourhood and wasn't clear on what we are to do with the information shared with us. Resonance 2025 - I am assuming that we will receive more information about the event and the pre-launch. Are we all encouraged to attend? Cost of attending?
2	3	2	1	2	2	1	2	
1	3		2	2	2	2	2	
1	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	
2	2	2	2	2	4	2	2	If we value presentations, then the presenters must have sufficient time to not feel rushed, and the documents being presented need to be available in at least English and French prior to the meeting. This is clear with both sections extending beyond the announced closing time. People were clearly engaged and want to talk or ask questions. To encourage the voices, we need to allow more time for interaction.
1	4	1	4	2	1	2	1	Saturday felt particularly rushed with too many words on screens, especially when the print is too small to read.
3	3	2	2	2	3	2	3	I appreciate the admission that Zoom meetings do not result in the intimacy we had before all of the changes wrought by the technology. As a former member of the much smaller presbytery, I hadn't had much contact with the Montrealers. People are certainly pleasant, but it's hard to know them, or even remember their names. Perhaps it would be helpful to make the breakout groups stable for a few sessions.
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Well organized
1	1	1	1	1	2	3	2	
17	22	15	20	20	24	19	21	total score
1.42	1.83	1.25	1.67	1.67	2.00	1.58	1.75	average score (1=strongly agree, 2=agree, 3=neither agree nor disagree, 4=disagree, 5=strongly disagree)

Highest level of agreement

- 1.25 I feel that the meeting concluded in a good way.
- 1.42 I felt comfortable in this meeting.
- 1.58 I had a good understanding of the meeting process.

Lowest level of agreement

- 2.00 Overall, I felt prepared for the work of this meeting.
- 1.83 I have a good understanding of what will happen with unfinished business.
- 1.75 The process of the meeting enabled a diversity of perspectives to be included